1 2	AMELIA ANN ALBANO (SBN 103640) City Attorney of Burbank (aalbano@ci.burbank.ca.us) CAROL A. HUMISTON (SBN 115592) (chumiston@ci.burbank.ca.us) CITY OF BURBANK		
3	275 East Olive Avenue - P.O. Box 645 Burbank, California 91510 T: (818) 238-5707 — F: (818) 238-57		
5	LAWRENCE A. MICHAELS (SBN 1 MITCHELL SILBERBERG & KNUP	07260)(lam@msk.com) P LLP	
6 7	11377 West Olympic Boulevard Los Angeles, California 90064 T: (310) 312-2000 — F: (310) 312-31	100	
8	RICHARD R. TERZIAN (SBN 30300)(rterzian@bwslaw.com) BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP		
9	444 South Flower Street, Suite 2400 Los Angeles, California 90071	, 221	
10	T: (213) 236.0600 — F: (213) 236-27	700	
11	Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF BURBANK		
12	BROWN WHITE & NEWHOUSE LLP		
13	THOMAS M. BROWN (SBN 117449) 333 South Hope Street, 40th Floor	(tbrown@brownwhitelaw.com)	
14	Los Angeles, California 90071 T: (213) 613.0500 — F: (213) 613-0550		
15	Attorneys for Plaintiff OMAR RODRIGUEZ	OTE 0114110E011411/E DEE11	
16 17	IN	OTE: CHANGES HAVE BEEN ADE TO THIS DOCUMENT	
18	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
19	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
20	OMAR RODRIGUEZ,	CASE NO. CV 11-03045 GW (RZx)	
21	Plaintiff,	Judge: Hon. George H. Wu	
	ŕ	Location: Courtroom 10	
22	V. CITY OF BURBANK, TIM STEHR,	[PROPOSED] PROTECTIVE ORDER	
23	SCOTT LACHASSE, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	[Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(c); L.R. 7-1]	
2425	Defendants.	[Discovery Document: Referred to Magistrate Judge Ralph Zarefsky]	
26		Action Filed: April 11, 2011	
27		Trial Date: October 2, 2012	
28			

PROTECTIVE ORDER

- 1. Any party to this action may designate as "confidential" any documents, things, discovery responses, trial or deposition testimony, or other material that contains or discloses any of the following:
- a. Police officer personnel information or police officer personnel records; or
- b. Plaintiff Omar Rodriguez's ("Plaintiff's") social security number, home address, telephone number, private financial information, including but not limited to, his pay stubs, wage statements, tax returns, benefits documents, retirement programs, and/or bank account information, or Plaintiff's medical information including but not limited to his, medical records, bills, treatment, and/or medical history.

The term "Confidential Information" as used in this Protective Order shall refer to the original and copies of any so-designated document, testimony, or other discovery material, and shall also refer to the information contained in such material. All notes, extracts and summaries of such Confidential Information shall be considered confidential and be subject to the terms of this Protective Order.

The parties shall mark any documents produced that constitute or contain Confidential Information with a label designating them as "Confidential: Subject to Protective Order."

GOOD CAUSE STATEMENT: Good cause exists to treat police officer personnel information and records as "Confidential Information" in this matter in the manner described herein. Such items include information about complaints, investigations, and discipline involving the officers; officers' financial information; details relating to the officers' private lives, including contact information; and

birth dates and social security numbers. Police officers' jobs require that they frequently testify under oath, and unlimited dissemination of such sensitive information would undermine their ability to effectively testify in legal proceedings.1 Importantly, given the unique nature of police officers' jobs, unlimited disclosure of contact information and other personal information in personnel records can also compromise the safety of the officers and their families.

Good cause also exists to treat Plaintiff's social security number, home address, telephone number, private financial information, and medical information as Confidential Information in this matter in the manner described herein. Plaintiff maintains a reasonable and legitimate interest in safeguarding the privacy of his social security number, financial information, and medical conditions, treatment, and history. Plaintiff would sustain significant damages if this private information was publicly disclosed or available for review.²

Absent a specific order of this Court, the parties shall use the Confidential Information solely for the purposes of litigation in this action—Omar Rodriguez v. City of Burbank, et al., United States District Court, Central District, Case No. 11-CV-03045-GW-RZ(X) (the "Action")—and shall not disclose any

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

¹⁸ 19

Indeed, for this reason, California law provides special protection for police officer personnel files and provides that they can only be obtained through specific procedures set out in the California Evidence Code, which include notice to the affected officer(s) and an affidavit showing a specific factual scenario establishing the materiality of the confidential information to the case at hand. See, e.g., Abatti v. Superior Court, 112 Cal. App. 4th 39, 49 (2003), City of Santa Cruz v.

Municipal Court, 49 Cal. 3d 74, 81 (1989), Davis v. City of Sacramento, 24 Cal. App. 4th 393, 401 n. 2 (1994), California Highway Patrol v. Superior Court, 84

Cal. App. 4th 1010, 1019-1020 (2000).

California law protects the private nature of Plaintiff's anticipated "Confidential" documents. See, e.g., Webb v. Standard Oil Co., 49 Cal.2d 509, 513-514 (protecting tax returns); Cobb v. Superior Court, 99 Cal.App.3d 543, 550 (1979) (recognizing a right of privacy exists as to a person's financial affairs); and *John B. v. Superior Court* (2006) 38 Cal.4th 1177, 1198 (constitutional right of privacy applies to medical records).

27

attorneys, agents, and representatives – under section 2(d) above.

Nothing in this Protective Order shall prevent any party from disclosing its own Confidential Information as it deems appropriate. Such disclosure shall not constitute a waiver of the designation of such Confidential Information as confidential.

3. The parties' counsel alone will retain the Confidential Information. At no time may a copy of any of the Confidential Information be made for or provided to the persons described in section 2, subdivisions (a) through (g) above except as follows:

a. Burbank will make three numbered copies of any material it designates as Confidential Information. One of the copies will be for Plaintiff's counsel, Brown White & Newhouse LLP. The remaining two copies may be provided by Plaintiff's counsel to the custody of two pre-identified, bona fide experts while the case is pending before the trial court. Plaintiff's counsel will not make any copies of the Confidential Information provided by Burbank unless such copies are agreed to by the parties or ordered by the Court.

b. Plaintiff will make five numbered copies of any material he designates as Confidential Information. One of the copies will be for Burbank's counsel, Mitchell Silberberg & Knupp LLP; one of the copies will be for Burbank's counsel Burke, Williams & Sorenson, LLP; and one of the copies will be for Burbank's counsel, Senior Assistant City Attorney Carol Humiston. The remaining two copies may be provided by Burbank's counsel to the custody of two pre-identified, bona fide experts while the case is pending before the trial court. Burbank's counsel will not make any copies of the Confidential Information provided by Plaintiff unless such copies are agreed to by the parties or ordered by the Court.

1
 2
 3

c. Copies of Confidential Information provided to court reporters, videographers and/or the Court pursuant to section 2, subdivisions (e) or (g) above shall be governed by and handled in accordance with sections 6 and 7 below.

4

5

The parties may mark any copies of their own Confidential Information with a watermark identifying such copies as Confidential Information before providing those copies to opposing counsel.

6 7

8

9

10

11

4. All persons described in section 2, subdivisions (a) through (g) and section 3 above shall not disclose or provide any portion of the Confidential Information to any other person and shall not use any information obtained therefrom except in conformance with this Protective Order and for purposes of litigation in this Action. Any party who discloses or provides Confidential

12

Information to any person described in section 2, subdivisions (b), (c), (d), (e), or (f) shall advise such person that said matters constitute Confidential Information

1314

which may be used only for the litigation of this Action, and shall, prior to disclosure of the Confidential Information, have such person execute a written

15 16

Understanding and Agreement to be bound by this Protective Order in the form

17

attached hereto as Exhibit 1. Parties to this Action shall provide copies of executed

18

Understanding and Agreement forms to the opposing party at the following times:

(a) at the time of deposition for each witness who is deposed, and each court

1920

reporter and videographer thereat; (b) at the designated time of exchange of expert

21

witnesses for all others executed prior to that time; and (c) within five (5) days of

2223

execution for any Understanding and Agreement executed after the date in section 4, subdivision (b).

24

25

26

27

5. In the event of any disclosure or use of Confidential Information other than in the manner authorized by this Protective Order (or subsequent Court order), counsel for the party responsible for the disclosure or use shall immediately notify counsel of the party who designated the material of the facts and circumstances of

28

Testimony given at a deposition and exhibits used at a deposition may

5 6. be designated as Confidential Information by an appropriate statement on the 6 record at the time of the giving of such testimony or use of such exhibit, or within 7 8 ten (10) days after receipt of the transcript by the counsel for the party whose 9 Confidential Information was disclosed at the deposition. Counsel may designate 10 the transcript, or portions of the transcript, as Confidential Information either during the deposition or during the ten (10) day review period by written 11 12 notification to opposing counsel. Until expiration of the ten (10) day review 13 period, the entire transcript, including exhibits, shall be deemed Confidential Information. If there is no statement on the record designating the transcript or any 14 portion of the transcript as Confidential Information, and if no such designation is 15 made prior to expiration of the ten (10) day review period, the transcript shall not 16 17 be considered Confidential Information. Any deposition testimony that 18 encompasses or concerns Confidential Information shall be transcribed in a separate booklet that is marked on its cover "Confidential: Subject to Protective 19

Any documents containing Confidential Information that are 7. submitted to the Court shall be accompanied by an application, pursuant to Local Rule 79-5.1, to file the papers (or the confidential portion thereof) under seal.

"Confidential: Subject to Protective Order." Deposition transcripts containing

Confidential Information and bearing this marking shall not be disclosed except as

Order." Any document that contains Confidential Information that is marked as an

exhibit at a deposition shall be bound in the separate booklet marked

27

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

provided in section 2 above.

8. If any party objects to the designation of any materials as Confidential Information, that party shall state the objection by letter to counsel for the party making the designation. Each party shall have the right, on reasonable notice, and after meeting and conferring with the designating party in a good faith effort to resolve the matter informally, to apply to the Court for a determination of the issue.

Until the Court rules on the motion, the materials shall continue to be treated and designated as Confidential Information.

- 9. Production of the Confidential Information protected by this Protective Order shall not constitute a waiver of any privilege or confidentiality or privacy right. The parties retain the right to assert all substantive objections to the Confidential Information, including but not limited to relevancy, hearsay, privacy, and privilege.
- 10. Within thirty (30) days of the conclusion of this Action, counsel for the parties shall either secure the return of all copies, prints, extracts, summaries, and other reproductions of all Confidential Information from all persons to whom the Confidential Information was disclosed, and shall return such materials to the disclosing party's counsel of record, or destroy such materials and certify the destruction of the same.
- 11. The confidentiality obligations imposed by this Order shall remain in effect until the party who designated the material as confidential agrees otherwise in writing or a court order otherwise directs.
- 12. Nothing in this Protective Order shall be deemed to limit, prejudice, or waive any right of any party or person: (a) to resist or compel discovery with respect to, or to seek to obtain additional or different protection for, material claimed to be protected work product or privileged under federal law, material as

1	to which the producing party claims a legal obligation not to disclose, or material		
2	not required to be provided pursuant to federal law; (b) to seek to modify or obtain		
3	relief from any aspect of this Protective Order; (c) to object to the use, relevance or		
4	admissibility at trial or otherwise of any material, whether or not designated in		
5	whole or in part as Confidential Information governed by this Protective Order; or		
6	(d) otherwise to require that discovery be conducted according to governing laws		
7	and rules.		
8	13. This Order shall survive the term	mination of this litigation and the	
9	Action, and the parties agree that after this Action is terminated this Court shall		
10	have and retain jurisdiction over them for the purpose of enforcing this Protective		
11	Order.		
12			
13	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED. However, this order shall not govern in connection		
14	with dispositive motions or at trial, where different standards for protection may		
15	govern. See Foltz v. State Farm Mutual Auto Insurance Co., 331 F.3d 1122, 1136		
16	(9 th Cir. 2003); Kamakana v. City and Couty of Honolulu, 447 F.3d 1172 (9 th Cir.		
17	2006). In connection with those proceedings, any party seeking protection should		
18	file a separate application to the judicial officer who will preside at those		
19	proceedings.	P.M 2.11	
20		hall surgering	
21	Dated: June 29, 2012		
22		Honorable Ralph Zarefsky United States Magistrate Judge	
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

1	Exhibit 1		
2	UNDERSTANDING AND AGREEMENT TO BE BOUND BY		
3	PROTECTIVE ORDER		
4			
5	I,, have read the STIPULATED		
6	PROTECTIVE ORDER (the "Protective Order") in Omar Rodriguez v. City of		
7	Burbank, et al., United States District Court, Central District of California, Case		
8	No. CV-11-03045-GW-RZx. I understand and agree to comply with the terms of		
9	the Protective Order in all respects, and hereby submit and waive any objection to		
10	the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Central District of		
11	California for purposes of resolving any dispute concerning or related to my		
12	compliance with the Protective Order.		
13			
14	I understand that any violation of the terms of this Protective Order may be		
15	punishable by money damages, interim or final injunctive or other equitable relief,		
16	an imposition of sanctions, contempt of court, or other additional relief as deemed		
17	appropriate by the Court.		
18			
19	Date:		
20			
21	Signature:		
22			
23	Printed Name:		
24			
25	Address:		
26			
27			
28			
	9 [PROPOSED] PROTECTIVE ORDER		

666355.1